1	Jeffrey L. Fazio (146043) (jlf@fazmiclaw.com)		
2	Dina E. Micheletti (184141) (dem@fazmiclaw.com) FAZIO MICHELETTI LLP		
3	2410 Camino Ramon, Suite 315 San Ramon, CA 94583		
4	T: 925-543-2555 F: 925-369-0344		
5	Thomas J. Misny, (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) (misnynt@	netscape.net)	
6	THOMAS J. MISNY, M.D., INC. 7319 Eagle Mills Road		
7	Waite Hill, OH 44094 T: 440-256-1950		
8	F: 440-256-1950.		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeffrey Johnson, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.		
10	Katherine M. Schon (Bar No. 288804) (katherine.sch	non@lw.com)	
11	Latham & Watkins LLP 140 Scott Drive		
	Menlo Park, CA 94025		
12	T: 650-328-4600 F: 650-463-2600		
13	Mark S. Mester (application <i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) (mark.mester@lw.com)		
14	Kathleen Lally (application pro hac vice forthcoming) (kathleen.lally@lw.com)		
15	Latham & Watkins LLP 330 North Wabash Ave., Suite 2800		
16	Chicago, IL 60611 T: 312-876-7700		
17	F: 312.993.9767		
18	Attorneys for Defendant Schiff Nutrition International, et al.		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	ALEED EAT TO A DECEMBER 1	G N 15 00((0 DW)	
21	JEFFREY JOHNSTON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 15-cv-03669-PJH	
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING	
23	VS.	DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT	
24		C CITER ELIZATI (E	
25	SCHIFF NUTRITION INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, and RECKITT		
26	BENCKISER LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
27	Defendants.		



1

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION

After being advised of the filing the complaint in the present action, counsel for Defendants Schiff Nutrition International, Inc., and Reckitt Benckiser LLC agreed to accept service on behalf of their clients in exchange for Plaintiff Jeffrey Johnston's agreement to provide Defendants with 45 days from the date of service within which to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint. Having considered various factors, including the effect the additional time requested by Defendants would have on Plaintiff's counsels' schedules in the event Defendants respond to the complaint by way of a motion rather than an answer, as well as Defendants' counsel's schedule in the month of November, the parties have agreed to proceed as follows, subject to Court approval:

- 1. Defendants' counsel shall have until October 2, 2015, to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint;
- In the event Defendants' counsel respond to the complaint with a motion or 2. motions, Plaintiff's counsel shall have until November 2, 2015, to respond and Defendants' counsel will have until November 13, 2015 to reply;
- 3. The hearing of any motion shall be set for a date that is mutually agreeable to the parties and the Court.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: September 2, 2015

FAZIO | MICHELETTI LLP

by /s/ Dina E. Micheletti Dina E. Micheletti

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeffrey Johnston, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated

Pursuant to L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the above signatory attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below:



	Case 4:15-cv-03669-PJH Document 19 Filed 09/03/15 Page 3 of 3		
1	DATED: September 2, 2015 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
2	by <u>/s/ <i>Katherine M. Schon</i></u> Katherine M. Schon		
3			
4	Attorneys for Defendants Schiff Nutrition International, Inc., and Reckitt Benckiser LLC		
5	Reckitt Benckiser LLC		
6	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
7			
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
9	September 3, 2015.		
10			
11	Hon IT IS SO ORDERED NILLON		
12	United S Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton Toldge		
13	TW DISTRICT OF		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-2-		

